

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

IANA PRICE,
Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 3:19-CV-2867-M-BH

Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

After reviewing the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge for plain error, I am of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed July 30, 2020 (doc. 41), is **GRANTED**, and the plaintiff's claim under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(g) is **DISMISSED with prejudice**. She was afforded by the Magistrate Judge an opportunity to amend her complaint to assert a claim under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and purportedly did so. *Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint*, filed September 4, 2020 (doc. 51), is **DENIED as moot**.

SIGNED this 8th day of March, 2021.


BARBARA M. G. LYNN
CHIEF JUDGE